

# **Algebraic theory of integrable PDE**

*with Alberto De Sole and collaborators  
(Wakimoto, Barakat, Carpentier, Valeri, Turhan)*

1. Compatible evolution equations and integrability
2. Variational differential forms
3. Local and non-local Poisson structure
4. Some non-commutative algebra: principal ideal rings
5. Local and non-local Poisson vertex algebras (PVA)
6. Lenard–Magri scheme of integrability of bi-Hamiltonian equations.
7. Hamiltonian reduction of PVA and generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies
8. Dirac reduction of PVA

*Evolution equation* is a PDE of the form

$$(1) \quad \frac{du}{dt} = P(u, u', \dots, u^{(n)}),$$

where  $u = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_\ell \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $u_i = u_i(t, x)$  is a function in one independent variable  $x$ , and  $t$  (time) is a parameter;

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ \vdots \\ P_\ell \end{pmatrix} \in V^\ell, V \text{ algebra of "differential functions"}.$$

This equation is called *compatible* with another evolution equation

$$\frac{du}{dt_1} = Q(u, u', \dots, u^{(m)})$$

if “the corresponding flows commute”:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{dt_1} u = \frac{d}{dt_1} \frac{d}{dt} u.$$

Compute the LHS using the chain rule:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{dt_1} Q(u, u', \dots, u^{(m)}) = \sum_{i; n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u_i^{(n)}} \partial^n P_i = X_P Q,$$

where

$$\partial = \frac{d}{dx}$$

is the *total derivative*, and

$$X_P = \sum_{i; n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\partial^n P_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(n)}}$$

is the *evolutionary vector field* with characteristic  $P \in V^\ell$ .

Hence,

$$\left[ \frac{d}{dt}, \frac{d}{dt_1} \right] u = [X_P, X_Q] = X_{[P, Q]},$$

where

$$(2) \quad [P, Q] = X_P Q - X_Q P$$

is a Lie algebra bracket on  $V^\ell$ .

Thus, equations  $\frac{dt}{du} = P$ ,  $\frac{du}{dt_1} = Q$  are compatible iff the corresponding evolutionary vector fields commute.

Evolution equation is called *integrable* if it can be included in an infinite hierarchy of linearly independent compatible evolution equations:

$$\frac{du}{dt_n} = P_n, \quad [P_m, P_n] = 0, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

called an *integrable hierarchy*.

Thus, classification of integrable evolution equations = classification of infinite-dimensional (maximal) abelian subalgebras  $\mathcal{L}$  in the Lie algebra of evolutionary vector fields  $V^\ell$  with the bracket (2).

*Trivial examples of integrable hierarchies:*

1. linear:  $u_{t_n} = u^{(n)},$

since  $X_{u^{(m)}}u^{(n)} = u^{(m+n)}$

2. dispersionless:  $u_{t_f} = f(u)u',$

since  $X_{f(u)u'}(g(u)u') = \left( f \frac{dg}{du} + g \frac{df}{du} \right) u'^2 + fgu''.$

Nontrivial examples of integrable hierarchies:

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_t &= u'' + uu' && \text{(Burgers)} \\
 u_t &= u''' + uu' && \text{(KdV)} \\
 u_t &= u''' + u^2u' && \text{(mKdV)} \\
 u_t &= u''' + u'^2 && \text{(pKdV)} \\
 u_t &= u''' + u'^3 && \text{(LKdV)} \\
 u_t &= \underbrace{u''' - \frac{3u''^2}{2u'}}_{\text{Schwarz KdV}} + \frac{h(u)}{u'} && \text{(Krichever–Novikov)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$h(u)$  polynomial of degree at most 4.

*Shabat, Sokolov, Mikhailov, ..., Meshkov Theorem.* Up to automorphism of the algebra of differential functions, there are only nine more integrable equations of the form  $u_t = u''' + f(u, u', u'')$ .

*Folklore Conjecture.* Any order  $\geq 7$  integrable evolution equation in one function  $u$  is contained in the hierarchy of a non-trivial integrable equation of order  $\leq 5$ . In other words, any maximal infinite-dimensional subalgebra of  $V$  with bracket (2) contains a non-central element of order  $\leq 5$ .

There are partial classificational results on 2-component equations, the most famous among them is the non-linear Schrödinger:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = v'' + 2v(u^2 + v^2) \\ v_t = -u'' - 2u(u^2 + v^2) \end{cases} .$$

I shall now discuss the other part of the problem: how to prove integrability. But first we have to answer the usually neglected question:

What is a differential function  $f \in V$ ?

An *algebra of differential functions* is a differential algebra  $V$  with the derivation  $\partial$  (total derivative), endowed with commuting derivations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(n)}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell; \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

subject to two axioms:

$$1 \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(n)}} f = 0 \text{ for all but finite number of } i, n.$$

$$2 \quad \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(n)}}, \partial \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(n-1)}} \text{ (basic identity).}$$

Axiom 1 is needed, otherwise  $X_P Q$  is divergent.

Axiom 2 is satisfied for the main example, the algebra of differential polynomials:

$$V = \mathbb{F}[u_i^{(n)} | i = 1, \dots, \ell; n \in \mathbb{Z}_+] \\ \partial u_i^{(n)} = u_i^{(n+1)}.$$

Arbitrary  $V$  is its extension, for example, for  $KN$  we need to invert  $u'$ .

**Note:**  $\partial^{-1}$  cannot be defined if we want both axioms to hold!

*S.-S. Chern.* In life both men and women are important. Likewise in geometry both vector fields and differential forms are important.

In our theory vector fields are evolutionary vector fields

$$X_P \quad (P \in V^\ell).$$

They commute with  $\partial = X_{u^i}$ . This tells us how to define *variational differential forms*.



Ordinary differential forms (dual to all vector fields) are

$$\omega = \sum f_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{n, \dots, n_k} du_{i_1}^{(u_1)} \wedge \dots \wedge du_{i_k}^{(n_k)}$$

with the usual de Rham differential  $d$ :

$$\tilde{\Omega}^0 = V \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{\Omega}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{\Omega}^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

and derivation  $\partial : \partial(du_i^{(n)}) = du_i^{(n+1)}$ .

Axiom 2. of  $V$  (the basic identity) is equivalent to the property that  $\partial$  commutes with  $d$ . Therefore we can define the *variational complex* by letting

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^k &= \tilde{\Omega}^k / \partial \tilde{\Omega}^k : \\ V / \partial V &\xrightarrow{d} \tilde{\Omega}^1 / \partial \tilde{\Omega}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{\Omega}^2 / \partial \tilde{\Omega}^2 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \end{aligned}$$

Here  $V / \partial V$  is the space (not algebra any more) of *local functionals*, the universal space where we can perform integration by parts. Now we can describe the variational complex more explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned}
V/\partial V &\rightarrow V^{\oplus \ell} \rightarrow \text{skew-adjoint matrix} \\
&\text{differential operators on } V^\ell \rightarrow \dots \\
\int f &\mapsto \frac{\delta \int f}{\delta u} \\
F &\mapsto D_F - D_F^*
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u} = \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta u_j} \right)_j, \quad \frac{\delta f}{\delta u_i} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (-\partial)^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i^{(n)}}$$

is the variational derivative;

$$(D_F)_{ij} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial u_j^{(n)}} \partial^n$$

is the Frechet derivative.

Note that

- (a)  $\frac{\delta}{\delta u} \circ \partial = 0$  ( $\Leftrightarrow$  axiom 2) (Euler)
- (b)  $D_{\frac{\delta f}{\delta u}}$  is self-adjoint (Helmholtz), is the condition on  $F \in V^{\oplus \ell}$  to be a variational derivative (exact 1-form is closed)

*Theorem.* Let

$$V_{m,i} = \{f \in V \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^{(n)}} f = 0, \quad (n, j) > (m, i)\}$$

and suppose that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(m)}} V_{m,i} = V_{m,i}$ . Then the variational complex is exact. One can always embed  $V$  in a larger algebra of differential functions  $\tilde{V}$  s.t. the variational complex becomes exact.

Note that we have a non-degenerate *pairing* between the space of evolutionary vector fields  $= V^\ell$  and the space of variational 1-forms  $\Omega^1 = V^{\oplus \ell}$ , induced from the usual pairing of vector fields with differential 1-forms:

$$(3) \quad (X_P | \omega_Q) = (P | Q) := \int P \cdot Q \in V / \partial V .$$

An effective way of constructing an integrable equation is to use Poisson structures. What is a local (or non-local) Poisson structure on  $V$ ?

Physicists define it by the following formula:

$$(4) \quad \{u_i(x), u_j(y)\} = H_{ij}(u(y), u'(y), \dots, u^{(n)}(y); \partial/\partial y) \delta(x - y),$$

where  $\int f(y) \delta(x - y) = f(x)$  and  $H = (H_{ij})$  is an  $\ell \times \ell$  matrix differential (or pseudo-differential) operator, whose coefficients are functions in  $u, u', \dots, u^{(n)}$ .

Extending this formula (4) by Leibniz's rule and bilinearity to  $f, g \in V$ , we obtain

$$(5) \quad \{f(x), g(y)\} = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial u_i^{(m)}} \frac{\partial g(y)}{\partial u_j^{(n)}} \partial_x^m \partial_y^n \{u_i(x), u_j(y)\}.$$

Integrating (5) by parts in  $x$ , we obtain (for  $g = u_j$ ):

$$(6) \quad \left\{ \int f, u \right\}_H = H \frac{\delta}{\delta u} \int f.$$

Integrating (5) by parts in  $x$  and in  $y$ , we obtain:

$$(7) \quad \left\{ \int f, \int g \right\}_H = \int \frac{\delta \int g}{\delta u} \cdot H(\partial) \frac{\delta \int f}{\delta u}.$$

**Definition** (a) An  $\ell \times \ell$  matrix differential operator  $H$  is called a (local) *Poisson structure* on  $V$  if (7) is a Lie algebra bracket on  $V/\partial V$ . This happens iff  $H^* = -H$  and  $[H, H]$  (Schouten bracket)  $= 0$ .

(b) Given a Poisson structure  $H$  on an algebra of differential functions  $V$  and a local functional  $\int h$  (Hamiltonian), the corresponding *Hamiltonian evolution equation* is

$$(8) \quad \frac{du}{dt} = \left\{ \int h, u \right\}_H$$

(the corresponding evolutionary vector field is  $X_{H \frac{\delta \int h}{\delta u}}$ ).

(c) Two local functionals are in *involution* if their commutator (7) is zero.

**Remark.** The map  $V/\partial V \rightarrow$  Lie algebra of evolutionary vector fields  $V^\ell$  given by

$$\int f \mapsto X_{H \frac{\delta \int f}{\delta u}}$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism. In particular, local functionals in involution correspond to commuting evolutionary vector fields.

**Corollary.** If  $\int h$  is contained in an infinite-dimensional abelian subalgebra of the Lie algebra  $(V/\partial V, \{ , \}_H)$  and  $\dim \text{Ker } H < \infty$  (i.e.  $H$  non-degenerate), then equation (8) is integrable.

An alternative approach is to apply the Fourier transform  $\int dx e^{\lambda(x-y)}$  to both sides of (5). Denoting  $\{f_\lambda g\} = \int dx e^{\lambda(x-y)} \{f(x), f(y)\}$ , we get the Master Formula:

$$(9) \quad \{f_\lambda g\} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_j^{(n)}} (\lambda + \partial)^n H_{ji} (-\lambda - \partial)^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i^{(m)}}.$$

This  $\lambda$ -bracket satisfies:

- (i) (Leibniz rules)  $\{f_\lambda gh\} = g\{f_\lambda h\} + h\{f_\lambda g\}; \quad \{fg_\lambda h\} = \{f_{\lambda+\partial} g\} \rightarrow h + \{f_{\lambda+\partial} h\} \rightarrow g;$
- (ii) (sesquilinearity)  $\{\partial f_\lambda g\} = -\lambda\{f_\lambda g\}, \quad \{f_\lambda \partial g\} = (\lambda + \partial)\{f_\lambda g\}.$

*Theorem.* (a) The bracket (7) is a Lie algebra bracket iff:

- (iii) (skewcommutativity)  $\{g_\lambda f\} = -\{f_{-\lambda-\partial} g\},$
- (iv) (Jacobi identity)  $\{f_\lambda \{g_\mu h\}\} - \{g_\mu \{f_\lambda h\}\} = \{\{f_\lambda g\}_{\lambda+\mu} h\}.$

(b) It suffices to check skewcommutativity of any pair  $(u_i, u_j)$  and Jacobi identity for any triple  $(u_i, u_j, u_k)$ .

*Definition.* (a) A  $\mathbb{F}[\partial]$ -module  $R$  is called a *Lie conformal algebra* if  $\{R_\lambda R\} \subset R[\lambda]$  and (ii), (iii), (iv) hold.

(b) A unital differential algebra  $(V, \partial)$  is called a (local) *Poisson vertex algebra* (PVA) if  $\{V_\lambda V\} \subset V[\lambda]$  and (i)–(iv) hold.

(c) If the  $\lambda$ -bracket is given by the Master formula, and it is a PVA, the (skewadjoint) differential operator  $H = (H_{ij})$  is called a (local) *Poisson structure*.

*Examples.*  $H = \partial$  (GFZ structure)  $\{u_\lambda u\} = \lambda$

$H = c\partial^3 + 2u\partial + u'$  (Virasoro–Magri structure)  $\{u_\lambda u\} = 2u\lambda + u' + c\lambda^3$ .

How to extend these notions to the non-local case (i.e.  $H(\partial)$  is a pseudodifferential operator?). In this case we see from (9) that

$$\{V_\lambda V\} \subset V((\lambda^{-1})).$$

It is easy to interpret the identities (i)–(iii): expand in positive powers of  $\partial$  each time when we encounter  $\frac{1}{(\lambda+\partial)^n}$ . However, in order for the Jacobi identity to make sense we must impose *admissibility* property:

$$\{f_\lambda\{g_\mu h\}\} \subset V[[\lambda^{-1}, \mu^{-1}, (\lambda + \mu)^{-1}][\lambda, \mu].$$

*Proposition.* The  $\lambda$ -bracket (9), given by the Master Formula is admissible provided that  $H(\partial)$  is a *rational* pseudodifferential operator, i.e. it is contained in the subalgebra of the algebra of pseudodifferential operators  $V((\partial^{-1}))$ , generated by differential operators and their inverses.



Then our basic definitions extend to the non-local case: non-local Lie conformal algebra, non-local PVA, non-local Poisson structure.

*Examples:*  $H = \partial^{-1}$

$H = u' \partial^{-1} \circ u'$  (Sokolov)

$H = \partial^{-1} \cdot u' \partial^{-1} \circ u' \partial^{-1}$  (Dorfman)

$H = \partial I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} v \partial^{-1} \circ v & -v \partial^{-1} \circ u \\ -u \partial^{-1} \circ v & u \partial^{-1} \circ u \end{pmatrix}$  (Magri: non-local Poisson structure for NLS)

*A theory of rational pseudodifferential operators.*

Let  $(V, \partial)$  be a unital differential algebra, assume  $V$  is a domain,  $\mathcal{K}$  field of fractions. Let  $\mathcal{K}((\partial))$  be the skewfield of pseudodifferential operators,  $\mathcal{K}(\partial)$  the sub-skewfield of rational ones (i.e. the sub-skewfield, generated by  $\mathcal{K}[\partial]$ ). Then

*Theorem.* (a) Any  $H \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{K}(\partial))$  can be represented as  $AB^{-1}$ , where  $A, B \in \text{Mat}_n\mathcal{K}[\partial]$ ,  $B$  non-degenerate.

(b) There exists a *minimal* such representation  $A_0B_0^{-1}$  so that any other is  $(A_0C)(B_0C)^{-1}$ ,  $C$  non-degenerate.

(c)  $AB^{-1}$  is minimal iff  $\text{Ker } A \cap \text{Ker } B = 0$  in any differential field extension of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

The best *proof*. Use the theory of non-commutative *principal ideal rings*.

What is a Hamiltonian equation

$$(10) \quad \frac{du}{dt} = H(\partial) \frac{\delta}{\delta u} \int h$$

when  $H$  is a non-local Poisson structure?

Fix a fractional decomposition  $H = AB^{-1}$ . We write association relation:

$$V/\partial V \ni \int h \xleftrightarrow{H} P \in V^\ell$$

if  $P = A(\partial)F$ ,  $\frac{\delta}{\delta u} \int h = B(\partial)F$  for some  $F \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ . Then the equation (10) is interpreted as

$$\frac{du}{dt} = P \quad \left( \approx A(\partial)B(\partial)^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\delta u} \int h \right).$$

*Lenard–Magri* scheme for the (non-local) bi-Poisson structure  $(H, K)$  i.e. both  $H, K$  are Poisson and also  $H + K$  is Poisson (all above examples are such). A bi-Hamiltonian equation:

$$(11) \quad \frac{du}{dt} = \underbrace{H(\partial) \frac{\delta}{\delta u} \int h_0 = K(\partial) \frac{\delta}{\delta u} \int h_1}_{\text{means}} := P_1$$

$$\int h_0 \overset{H}{\leftrightarrow} P_1 \overset{K}{\leftrightarrow} \int h_1 .$$

Then under certain conditions the Hamiltonian equation (11) is integrable:

**Theorem.** Let  $H = AB^{-1}$ ,  $K = CD^{-1}$  be skewadjoint. Let  $\{\xi_n\}_{n=-1}^N$ ,  $\{P_n\}_{n=0}^N$  be sequences such that

$$(*) \quad \xi_{n-1} \overset{H}{\leftrightarrow} P_n \overset{H}{\leftrightarrow} \xi_n, \quad n = 0, \dots, N.$$

Then

(a)  $(P_n | \xi_m) = 0$ ,  $m \geq -1, n \geq 0$  (i.e. the  $\int h_m$  are in involution if  $\xi_m = \int h_m$  are exact)

(b) Provided that  $H = AB^{-1}, K = CD^{-1}$  is a bi-Poisson structure,  $K$  non-degenerate, and  $\xi_{-1}, \xi_0$  closed, we have:  $\xi_n$  are closed, hence exact in some differential algebra extension of  $V$ , and

$$[P_m, P_n] \subset \text{Ker } B^* \cap \text{Ker } D^*, \quad m, n \geq 0.$$

(c) If the orthogonality conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{span } \{\xi_m\}_{m=-1}^N)^\perp &\subset \text{Im } C \\ (\text{span } \{P_n\}_{n=0}^N)^\perp &\subset \text{Im } B, \end{aligned}$$

we can extend (\*) to infinity.

(d) If also  $\text{ord } P_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then each of the equations  $\frac{du}{dt_n} = P_n$  is integrable and has infinitely many linearly independent integrals of motion in involution.

*Classical Hamiltonian reduction for PVA  $V$ .*

Let  $\mu : R \rightarrow V$  be a Lie conformal algebra homomorphism; it extends to the PVA homomorphism  $\mu : S(R) \rightarrow V$ . Let  $I_0 \subset S(R)$  be a PVA ideal. Let  $I = V\mu(I_0)$  be the differential algebra ideal of  $V$ , generated by  $\mu(I_0)$ . The classical Hamiltonian reduction is the differential algebra

$$\mathcal{W}(V, R, I_0) = (V/I)^{\mu(R)}$$

with the  $\lambda$ -bracket

$$\{f + I_\lambda g + I\} = \{f_\lambda g\} + I[\lambda].$$

*Examples.* Classical  $W$ -algebra, associated to  $(\mathfrak{g}, \text{nilpotent } f)$ ,

$\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  is obtained by taking

$$V = S(\mathbb{F}[\partial]\mathfrak{g}) \text{ with } [a_\lambda b] = [a, b] + (a|b)\lambda,$$

$$R = \mathbb{F}[\partial]\mathfrak{g}_{>0}, [a_\lambda b] = [a, b],$$

$I_0$  ideal of  $S(R)$ , generated by  $m - (f|m)$ , where  $m \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}$ .

Drinfeld–Sokolov, using  $f = \text{principal nilpotent}$ , constructed the integrable DS hierarchy. One can construct the generalized DS hierarchies for any nilpotent  $f$ , such that  $f + s$  is a semisimple element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where  $s$  has maximal  $(\text{ad } h)$ -eigenvalue, using the language of PVA.

*Dirac reduction for PVA.*

Let  $V$  be a non-local PVA, let  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in V$  (constraints), let  $I$  be the differential ideal of  $V$  generated by them. Consider the rational pseudodifferential operator  $C(\partial)$  with symbol  $(C_{\alpha\beta}(\lambda)) = (\{\theta_{\beta\lambda}\theta_\alpha\})$ .

*Theorem.* Assume that  $C(\partial)$  is an invertible matrix pseudodifferential operator. Then

(a)  $\{f_\lambda g\}^D := \{f_\lambda g\} - \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^m \{\theta_\alpha \lambda + \partial g\} \rightarrow (C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}(\partial + \lambda) \{f_\lambda \theta_\beta\}$  is again a (non-local) PVA structure on  $V$ .

(b)  $\theta_i$  are central:  $\{\theta_i \lambda f\}^D = 0$ .

(c)  $V/I$  with the induced  $\lambda$ -bracket is again a (non-local) PVA.

*Corollary.* If  $H = \begin{matrix} m \\ n \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B^* & D \end{pmatrix}$  is a (non-local) Poisson structure in  $m + n$  variables, then  $A + BC^{-1}B^*$  is a non-local Poisson structure in  $m$  variables.

**HAPPY BIRTHDAY**

**!! ROBERTO !!**

**HAPPY  
BIRTHDAY!**

